wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	v.	O	KU	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	Rigoberto Mejia	Case Numb	er:	08-6034M		
present and w		e by a preponderance of th		was held on February 20, 2008. Defendant was vidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the		
I find by a pre	conderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT				
		ne United States or lawfully	, adı	mitted for permanent residence		
		e United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence. harged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
	If released herein, the defendar	nt faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs ond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported				
	The defendant has no significant of	contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in to assure his/her future appearance	endant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated re his/her future appearance.				
	The defendant has a prior crimina	history.				
	The defendant lives/works in Mexi	co.				
	The defendant is an amnesty appure substantial family ties to Mexico.	olicant but has no substar	ntial	ties in Arizona or in the United States and has		
	There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as ordered	d.			
	The defendant attempted to evade	e law enforcement contact	by f	leeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximu	m of)	vears imprisonment.		
The C at the time of t	ourt incorporates by reference the make hearing in this matter, except as	noted in the record.		ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour		
1. 2.	DIREC	nditions will reasonably ass TIONS REGARDING DET	sure (EN			
a corrections fappeal. The dof the United S	acility separate, to the extent practica efendant shall be afforded a reasona States or on request of an attorney fo ne United States Marshal for the pur	ble, from persons awaiting ble opportunity for private o the Government, the pers	or sons on in coni	/her designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a courn charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.		
IT IS 0 deliver a copy Court.	DRDERED that should an appeal of t	his detention order be filed	wit	h the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric		
IT IS F Services suffice	FURTHER ORDERED that if a releas ciently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	e to a third party is to be co ore the District Court to al	nsic low	dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and		
DAT	ED this 21 st day of February	, 2008.				
		Sun				

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge